

there is only one section left in this chapter - stability

Section 3.5: Stability.

Recall thm 4: $\{e^{i\lambda n t}\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is complete if $| \lambda n | \leq n + \frac{1}{2p}$

\uparrow
perturbation of integers
 \downarrow general perturbation

In general, arbitrarily small perturbation does not preserve completeness

e.g. consider $\{e^{i\lambda n t}\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ in $L^2[-T, T]$, $\lambda_n = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} n + \frac{1}{4}, & n \geq 0 \\ n - \frac{1}{4}, & n < 0 \end{array} \right.$, we shall show that $\{e^{i\lambda n t}\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is incomplete! this type of examples appears frequently!

① It is complete

② $\forall \varepsilon > 0$, $\exists \tilde{\lambda}_n$, $|\tilde{\lambda}_n - \lambda_n| < \varepsilon$, but $\{e^{i\tilde{\lambda}_n t}\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is **not** complete!

① To see the completeness, translate it by $\frac{1}{2}$ to obtain $\{ \dots, -2 + \frac{3}{4}, -1 + \frac{3}{4}, 1 + \frac{1}{4}, 2 + \frac{1}{4}, \dots \}$
← into $\mathbb{Z} + \frac{1}{4}$ which is complete.

then $|\lambda_n| \leq n + \frac{1}{4} \forall n$ by thm 4, completeness.

② $\forall \varepsilon > 0$, let $\tilde{\lambda}_n = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} n + \frac{1}{4} - \varepsilon, & n \geq 0 \\ n - \frac{1}{4} + \varepsilon, & n < 0 \end{array} \right.$, and $\tilde{\lambda}_0 = 0$ ($n=0$), then $\{e^{i\tilde{\lambda}_n t}\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \cup \{e^{i0 \cdot t}\}$ is a Riesz basis for $L^2[-T, T]$. by Kadec's $\frac{1}{4}$ thm. $\Rightarrow \{e^{i\tilde{\lambda}_n t}\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is incomplete ← $e^{i0 \cdot t} = 1$

additional requirement of perturbation.

Thm 11: If $\lambda_n, \mu_n \in \mathbb{R}$, $\sum |\lambda_n - \mu_n| < \infty$, then $\{e^{i\lambda_n t}\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is complete in $L^p[-T, T]$, $1 \leq p < \infty$, then $\{e^{i\mu_n t}\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ may also be okay!

$\{e^{i\lambda_n t}\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is also complete!

proof: If not, $\exists \phi \neq 0 \in L^p$ st. $f(z) = \int_{-\infty}^z \phi(s) e^{is} ds$ vanishes at all μ_n .

Recall that: If $f(z) = \int_{-\infty}^z \phi(s) e^{is} ds$, $\phi \in L^p$, $f(\mu_n) = 0$, then ← thm b

$$\frac{2-\lambda_n}{2-\mu_n} f(z) = \int_{-\infty}^z \phi(s) e^{is} ds \cdot \phi \in L^p.$$

Denote $f_0 = f$, $f_n = \frac{2-\lambda_n}{2-\mu_n} f_{n-1}$, by thm b

$$f_n(z) = \int_{-\infty}^z \phi(s) e^{is} ds, \phi_n \in L^p, \text{ and } \phi_n(s) = \phi_{n-1}(s) + i(\lambda_n - \mu_n) e^{-i\lambda_n s} \int_s^\infty \phi_{n-1}(s') e^{i\lambda_n s'} ds'$$

$$\|\phi_n - \phi_{n-1}\| \leq c |\lambda_n - \mu_n| \|\phi_{n-1}\| \quad \text{by Hölder}$$

$$\Rightarrow (1 - \varepsilon_n) \|\phi_{n-1}\| \leq \|\phi_n\| \quad \|\phi_n\| \leq (1 + \varepsilon_n) \|\phi_{n-1}\|$$

← RHS implies that $\|\phi_n\| \leq \underbrace{\pi(1 + \varepsilon_n)}_{\text{finite, as } \sum \varepsilon_n < \infty} \|\phi_0\| < \infty$

$$\Rightarrow \|\phi_{n+m} - \phi_n\| \leq \sum_{k=n}^{n+m} \|\phi_{k+1} - \phi_k\|$$

$$\leq \sum_{k=n}^{n+m} \varepsilon_k \|\phi_k\|$$

$$\leq (\sum_{k=n}^{n+m} \varepsilon_k) \cdot \underbrace{(1 + \varepsilon_{n+m}) \|\phi_0\|}_{\text{cauchy}} \Rightarrow \{\phi_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{ cauchy.}$$

then $\phi_n \rightarrow \hat{\phi}$ in L^p

← indicates that $\{e^{i\lambda_n t}\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is not complete!

It remains to show that $\hat{\phi} \neq 0$, contradiction to completeness of $\{e^{i\lambda_n t}\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$

$$\|\phi_0\| \geq \underbrace{\pi(1 - \varepsilon_n)}_{> 0, \text{ as } \sum \varepsilon_n < \infty} \|\phi_n\| > 0$$

□

End of chapter 3: the last section assume too much background information!

Chapter 4: Interpolation and bases in Hilbert space.

↳ e.g. the Lagrange Interpolation $\sum f(x_i) \langle \Pi_{j \neq i} \frac{x-x_j}{x_j-x_i} \rangle$

In Paley-Wiener space $PW[-\pi, \pi]$, give $\{c_n\} \in \ell^2$, $\exists! \phi \in L^2[-\pi, \pi]$, s.t.

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \phi(t) e^{-int} dt = c_n = f(n), \text{ Fourier coefficients}$$

given $\{c_n\} \in \ell^2$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, then $\exists! f \in PW[-\pi, \pi]$ s.t. $f(n) = c_n$

In fact, $\forall f \in PW[-\pi, \pi]$, $f(z) = \sin(\pi z) \cdot \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} (-1)^n \cdot \frac{f(n)}{\pi(z-n)}$

\uparrow
Cardinal sequence

4.1. Moment sequences in H

Def: Given $f_1, f_2, \dots \in H$, fixed, we call $\langle f, f_n \rangle$ the n -th moment of f , and $\{\langle f, f_n \rangle\}$ the moment sequence, and $\{\langle f, f_n \rangle\}_n : f \in H\}$ the moment space of $\{f_n\}$

Question: (i) Given $\{f_n\}$, $\{c_n\}$ is $\{c_n\} \in$ moment space?

i.e. $\exists? f \in H$ s.t. $\langle f, f_n \rangle = c_n$?

(2) unique if exists? (\Leftrightarrow completeness of $\{f_n\}$)

(3) If not unique, how can the solutions be captured from $\{c_n\}$?

Proposition 1: If a solution exists, then $\exists!$ solution of minimal norm.

Proof: unique in $\text{span}\{f_n\}$. \square P 123

Example 1. (Finite interpolation) Given linearly independent $\{f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n\}$, c_1, \dots, c_n , then take

$$f = -\frac{1}{\det(f_i, f_j)} \det \begin{bmatrix} 0 & f_1 & \cdots & f_n \\ c_1 & & & f_1, f_j \\ \vdots & & & f_i, f_j \\ c_n & & & f_n, f_j \end{bmatrix}_{(n+1) \times (n+1)}$$

↑ determined explicitly

notice $\det(f_i, f_j) \neq 0$, as $\langle c_1, \dots, c_n \rangle, \langle f_1, f_j \rangle \left[\begin{smallmatrix} a_1 \\ \vdots \\ a_n \end{smallmatrix} \right] > \|S(a)\|^2$

$$\langle f, f_k \rangle = -\frac{1}{\det(f_i, f_j)} \det \begin{bmatrix} 0 & (f_1, f_k) & \cdots & (f_n, f_k) \\ c_1 & & & (f_1, f_j) \\ \vdots & & & (f_i, f_j) \\ c_n & & & (f_n, f_j) \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= -\frac{1}{\det(f_i, f_j)} \det \begin{bmatrix} -c_k & 0 \\ c_1 & (f_1, f_j) \\ \vdots & \\ c_n & (f_n, f_j) \end{bmatrix} = c_k$$

Example 2: If $\{f_n\}$ is a Riesz basis, then the moment space is ℓ^2 , then $\forall (c_n) \in \ell^2$, one can take

$$f = \sum c_n g_n \in H$$

↑ bi-orthogonal sequence

then $\langle f, f_n \rangle = c_n$

Example 3: Say $H = L^2[-\pi, \pi]$, and $\{e^{inx}\}$ is a Riesz basis, then what is $\{g_n\}$?

\downarrow
bi-orthogonal sequence.

We shall only consider the special case that $\lambda_0 = \lambda_n \in \mathbb{R}$ (in particular $\lambda_0 = 0$)

In this case $\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(1 - \frac{z^2}{\lambda_n^2}\right) \in PW[\pi, \bar{\pi}]$, vanishes at all λ_n but λ_0

then $G_1(z) = z \cdot \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(1 - \frac{z^2}{\lambda_n^2}\right)$ assuming this for now, prove later

$G_1(z)$ vanishes at all λ_n , $G_m = \frac{G_1(z)}{G_1'(\lambda_m)(z-\lambda_m)} \in PW$, vanishes at all λ_j but λ_m .

By Paley-Wiener thm $\exists g_n \in L^2[\pi, \bar{\pi}]$, s.t.

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} g_n(t) e^{-i\lambda_m t} dt = g_n(\lambda_m) = S_{nm}$$

$\Rightarrow \{g_n\}$ is the bi-orthogonal sequence of $\{e^{i\lambda_m t}\}$

In fact, it is more convenient to translate this discussion to PW.

Then $\{e^{i\lambda_m t}\}$ is a Riesz basis in $L^2[\pi, \bar{\pi}]$

\Downarrow
 $\left\{ \frac{S_{n\pi}(z-\lambda_n)}{\pi(z-\lambda_n)} \right\}$ is a Riesz basis in $PW[\pi, \bar{\pi}]$
 reproducing kernel

Then $\{G_n\}$ is the bi-orthogonal sequence of $\left\{ \frac{S_{n\pi}(z-\lambda_n)}{\pi(z-\lambda_n)} \right\}$, as $(G_n, \frac{S_{n\pi}(z-\lambda_n)}{\pi(z-\lambda_n)}) = G_n(\lambda_n) = S_{n,m}$

So given $\{c_n\} \in \ell^2$, $\left(f, \frac{S_{n\pi}(z-\lambda_n)}{\pi(z-\lambda_n)}\right) = c_n$

\Rightarrow the solution to this interpolation problem is

$$f(z) = \sum c_n G_n(z) = G_1(z) \sum \frac{(c_n)}{G_1'(\lambda_n)(z-\lambda_n)}$$

(also unique by being a basis)

Furthermore, since $\left(f, \frac{S_{n\pi}(z-\lambda_n)}{\pi(z-\lambda_n)}\right) = c_n \Rightarrow f(z) \sum \frac{(c_n)}{G_1'(\lambda_n)(z-\lambda_n)}$ (*)
 \Downarrow
 $f(\lambda_n) = c_n$

Also notice $\{f(\lambda_n)\} \in \ell^2$, $\forall f \in PW$, so (*) is valid for all $f \in PW$

\Downarrow
 a Generalization of Cardinal Series.

It remains to show that $\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(1 - \frac{z^2}{\lambda_n^2}\right) \in PW[\pi, \bar{\pi}]$ since $\{e^{i\lambda_m t}\}_{m \neq 0}$ is not complete, $\exists! f \in PW$ s.t.

$$f(\lambda_n) = \begin{cases} 0, & n \neq 0 \\ 1, & n = 0 \end{cases}$$

of deficiency 1.

Claim: f must be a even function

$$\text{Notice } \tilde{f}(z) = f(-z), \text{ also satisfies } \tilde{f}(\lambda_n) = \begin{cases} 0, & n \neq 0 \\ 1, & n = 0 \end{cases}$$

By Hadamard Factorization

$$f(z) = e^{Az+B} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(1 - \frac{z^2}{\lambda_n^2}\right) e^{\frac{z^2}{\lambda_n^2}}$$

$$= \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \prod_{n=1}^N \left(1 - \frac{z^2}{\lambda_n^2}\right) e^{\frac{z^2}{\lambda_n^2}} = \prod_{n \geq 1} \left(1 - \frac{z^2}{\lambda_n^2}\right)$$

$\uparrow f \text{ even}$

As $f(0) = 1$, f is even, we have $B=0$, $A=0$.

$$\Rightarrow f(z) = \prod_{n \geq 1} \left(1 - \frac{z^2}{\lambda_n^2}\right) \in PW$$

III

The following is a general criteria on the existence of a solution

Thm 2 : $\langle f, f_n \rangle = c_n$, admits a solution f with $\|f\| \leq M \Leftrightarrow |\sum a_n \bar{c}_n| \leq M \cdot \|\sum a_n f_n\|$

\wedge finite sequence $\{a_n\}$

Proof: " \Rightarrow ": $|\sum a_n \bar{c_n}| = |\sum a_n \langle f, f_n \rangle|$

$$= |\langle f, \sum a_n f_n \rangle| \leq \|f\| \cdot \|\sum a_n f_n\|$$

$$\leq M \cdot \|\sum a_n f_n\|$$

" \Leftarrow ". consider $T: \sum a_n f_n \rightarrow \sum a_n \bar{c_n}$, is a bounded linear functional on $\text{Span}\{\bar{f}_n\}$

of $\|T\| \leq M$, It can be further extended to a bounded linear functional on H . 正交部分全为0

By Riesz representation thm. $\exists f \in H$, $\|f\| \leq M$, s.t. $(\sum a_n f_n, f) = \sum a_n \bar{c_n}$

$$\Rightarrow (f, f_n) = c_n$$

□

Exercise 5.